UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Appendix A — LBNL ISM Peer Review February 10, 2006

BERKELEY · DAVIS · IRVINE · LOS ANGELES · MERCED · RIVERSIDE · SAN DIEGO · SAN FRANCISCO

SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT — LABORATORY MANAGEMENT

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT 1111 Franklin Street, 5th Floor Oakland, California 94607-5200

January 5, 2006

Aundra Richards, Manager U.S. Department of Energy Berkeley Site Office 1 Cyclotron Road, MS 90-1023 Berkeley, CA 94720

Re: Draft scope LBNL Peer Review

Dear Ms. Richards:

Pursuant to the regular weekly management meeting between you, Steven Chu and David McGraw, and the letter you sent to me on December 23, 2005, I am sending you this draft scope of work for the Berkeley Laboratory peer review. The peer review is scheduled for January 17-20, 2006 with some follow up work after the one-week on-site visit. The University and Laboratory management agree with you that a series of leading indicators you note in your letter to me provide compelling evidence that a peer review of the implementation and operations of Integrated Safety Management (ISM) at the Berkeley Lab is warranted. The draft scope for such a peer review is enclosed.

The peer review scope is designed to identify root causes for the events mentioned in your letter, identify specific deficiencies in the Laboratory's implementation of ISM, and make any recommendations for any improvements in the execution of a high quality Environment, Safety and Health (ES&H) program the review committee deems necessary and appropriate. It will be conducted by:

- . using the expert knowledge and judgment of peer review committee members
- . using a graded approach appropriate for the hazard level of the work
- validating the implementation of ISM principles
- . using document review, facility walkthroughs and observation, as well as interviews with Laboratory personnel
- . using a scoring matrix provided in the scope

This is designed to be a very thorough review. As mentioned above it is expected it will take a week of on-site presence and will include daily outbriefings of Lab management. Any serious safety hazards the committee identifies will be corrected immediately. A formal report will be presented orally at the end of the week on-site with a follow-on written report within two weeks of the review. The review team will receive documentation in advance of the on-site visit. The review team includes ES&H expertise and management expertise from the following individuals:

Tom Gesell, Ph.D Professor of Health Physics Idaho State University

James H. Johnson, Ph.D. Professor of Civil Engineering College of Engineering Howard University

Jim Smathers, Ph.D Professor Emeritus Radiation Oncology UCLA

The above three individuals serve on the ES&H Panel of the University of California President's Committee on National Laboratories.

John Cornuelle, B.A. MBA Director of OPS/COO SLAC/Stanford

Tom Dickinson, B.S.
Accelerator Safety &
Configuration Control
NSLS/Brookhaven National Lab

Jack Bartley, Ph.D.: DVM Independent Consultant Peer Review Committee Vice-Chair

George Goode, Manager Environmental & Waste Management Services Division Brookhaven National Lab

William Bookless, Ph.D Associate Director, SSEP Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Peer Review Committee Chair

The DOE observer will be:

W. Earl Carnes Human Performance Improvement Initiative Manager DOE HQ EH Germantown, MD

Biographical information on each panel member will be sent to you under separate cover.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

She M. Can

Ronald A. Nelson, Executive Director Contracts & Administration-Laboratory Management

Attachment: Draft Berkeley Lab ES&H Peer Review

copies: Steven Chu, LBNL David McGraw, LBNL Howard Hatayama, LBNL Robert L. Van Ness, UCOP-Laboratory Management Buck Koonce, UCOP-Laboratory Management John Ahlquist, UCOP-Laboratory Management